

Condensed Matter and Interphases

ISSN 1606-867X (Print)

Kondensirovannye Sredy i Mezhfaznye Granitsy https://journals.vsu.ru/kcmf/

Short communications

Short communication https://doi.org/10.17308/kcmf.2024.26/12453

Evaluation of the thermodynamic stability of REMgAl₁₁O₁₉ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm) hexaaluminates with a magnetoplumbite structure in the high temperature region

K. S. Gavrichev[∞], V. N. Guskov, P. G. Gagarin, A. V. Guskov

Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 31 Leninsky pr., Moscow 119991, Russian Federation

Abstract

This study is important due to the lack of reliable data about the properties of high temperature materials for energy production and aerospace engineering. The purpose of this article was to evaluate the thermodynamic stability of RE magnesium hexaaluminates $\text{REMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm) with a magnetoplumbite structure, which are promising components for thermal barrier coatings. For this, we calculated the values of the Gibbs energy of the decomposition reactions of RE magnesium hexaaluminates into simple oxides and aluminum-magnesium spinel MgAl₂O₄ and REAlO₃ phases in the temperature range of 298–1,800 K. For calculations, we used data on the thermodynamic properties of hexaaluminates calculated from the values of heat capacity measured by differential scanning calorimetry in the range of 300-1,800 K and from values of thermodynamic properties of simple oxides, MgAl₂O₄, and REAlO₃ provided in previous research. There is hardly any information about the thermodynamic properties of RE magnesium hexaaluminates, which are promising thermal barrier materials. The purpose of the article is to provide a thermodynamic evaluation of the probability of decomposition reactions of hexaaluminates in the high temperature region.

Previously published data on the high temperature heat capacity of compounds with the composition of $\text{REMgAl}_{11}O_{19}$ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm) were used to calculate temperature dependences of entropy and changes in enthalpy, which were used to evaluate the Gibbs energy of the decomposition reactions of hexaaluminates into constituent oxides.

The temperature dependences of the Gibbs energy of the four possible decomposition reactions of hexaaluminates allowed drawing conclusions about thermodynamic stability in the high temperature region.

Keywords: Hexaaluminates, Magnetoplumbite, RE, Thermodynamics, Thermal barrier coatings

Funding: The study was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant No. 23-13-00051).

For citation: Gavrichev K. S., Guskov V. N., Gagarin P. G., Guskov A. V. Evaluation of the thermodynamic stability of REMgAl₁₁O₁₉ (RE=La, Pr, Nd, Sm) hexaaluminates with a magnetoplumbite structure in the high temperature region. *Condensed Matter and Interphases.* 2024;26(4): 782–788. https://doi.org/10.17308/kcmf.2024.26/12453

Для цитирования: Гавричев К. С., Гуськов В. Н., Гагарин П. Г., Гуськов А. В. Оценка термодинамической стабильности гексаалюминатов REMgAl₁₁O₁₉ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm) со структурой магнетоплюмбита в области высоких температур. *Конденсированные среды и межфазные границы*. 2024;26(4): 782–788. https://doi.org/10.17308/kcmf.2024.26/12453

Konstantin S Gavrichev, e-mail: gavrich@igic.ras.ru

© Gavrichev K. S., Guskov V. N., Gagarin P. G., Guskov A. V., 2024

The content is available under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.

(i) (ii)

K. S. Gavrichev et al.

Evaluation of the thermodynamic stability of $REMqAl_{14}O_{10}$ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm)

1. Introduction

The improved efficiency of modern power turbine plants and aircraft engines largely depends on the development of new materials that allow significantly increasing the temperature of gases in the working area. Parts from nickel-cobalt allovs used for the manufacture of critical parts (for example, turbine blades) can be effectively operated, even with cooling, at temperatures that do not exceed 1,000–1,200 °C [1]. Oxide coatings of metal parts in combination with the cooling of inner surfaces allow increasing the temperature of working gases by hundreds of degrees due to a large temperature gradient in the oxide layer [2, 3]. Coatings designed to protect against the effects of high temperature are known as thermal barrier coatings. Another important function of oxide coatings is protection against chemical exposure to substances in gaseous and condensed states, which are formed during fuel combustion and in the form of suspended particles enter the turbine together with pumping-in air [4].

Until recently, thermal barrier coatings were mainly made of yttria stabilized zirconia, YSZ [5]. This substance has some disadvantages, i.e. temperature restrictions for its application (about 1,200 °C) associated with the presence of a phase transition [6] and a significant diffusion of oxygen at high temperatures leading to the oxidation of the surfaces of metal parts. Therefore, a number of high-temperature complex RE oxides have been proposed for application: zirconates RE₂Zr₂O₇ [7], hafnates RE₂Hf₂O₇ and RE₂O₃ 2HfO₂ [8], tantalates RETaO₄ and RE₃TaO₇ [9, 10], niobates RE_zNbO_z [11], etc. These materials meet the key requirements for thermal barrier coatings: they have high melting temperatures, no phase transitions in a wide range of temperatures, have low thermal conductivity, a specified coefficient of thermal expansion, and mechanical properties. Currently, there has been a lot of interest in RE magnesium hexaaluminates [12] due to their lower thermal conductivity and potential chemical resistance to CMAS oxides (CaO, MgO, Al_2O_3 , and SiO_2) at high temperatures [13].

One of the ways to evaluate if a particular oxide of thermal barrier coatings can be used under the conditions of high temperatures and the corrosive effect of gases and substances in the condensed state (in particular, melts) is the thermodynamic evaluation of the probability of decomposition reactions of complex oxides into more simple oxides, as well as reactions of interaction with the substances in the environment in the high temperature region. For this, it is necessary to determine the Gibbs energy of these reactions.

The triple phase diagram of RE_2O_3 -MgO-Al $_2\text{O}_3$ published in [14] is characterized by the presence of 4 eutectics and a number of phases (La $_2\text{O}_3$, MgO, Al $_2\text{O}_3$, MgO·Al $_2\text{O}_3$, La $_2\text{O}_3$ ·Al $_2\text{O}_3$, 2La $_2\text{O}_3$ ·11Al $_2\text{O}_3$) (Fig. 1). It can be noted that it does not have the LaMgAl $_{11}$ O $_{19}$ phase with a magnetoblumbite structure. It can be assumed that in addition to REAIO $_3$ perovskites, the quasibinary diagram of RE $_2\text{O}_3$ -Al $_2\text{O}_3$ for other rare-earth elements, starting with terbium, will have other compounds: aluminum garnets RE $_3\text{Al}_5\text{O}_{12}$ and RE $_4\text{Al}_2\text{O}_9$ with a monoclinic structure.

There is little information about the experimental determination of the thermodynamic properties of hexaaluminates with a magnetoplumbite structure. For example, such data are only available for heat capacity. In [15], the heat capacity of LaMgAl₁₁O₁₉ was determined by means of thermoanalytical analysis. The resulting data was presented in the form of a small graph. In [16], to determine the thermal conductivity of REMgAl₁₁O₁₉ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd), the authors used the values of specific heat capacity which were calculated by the Neumann–Kopp



Fig. 1. Phase diagram of La₂O₃-MgO-Al₂O₃ from [14]

K. S. Gavrichev et al.

Evaluation of the thermodynamic stability of $\text{REMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm)

rule. The resulting data was also presented graphically. The most reliable data were obtained by measuring the heat capacity of $LaMgAl_{11}O_{19}$ and $SmMgAl_{11}O_{19}$ by differential scanning calorimetry in the high temperature range [17, 18, 19, 20]. These data were presented as the Maier-Kelley equation $C_{12}(T) = A + B \times T - C/T^2$.

The values of entropy and the changes in enthalpy can be calculated from the known ratios of the heat capacity data:

$$S^{\circ}(T-298.15) = \int_{298.15}^{T} \frac{C_p}{T} dT$$
(1)

and

$$H^{\circ}(T) - H^{\circ}(298.15) = \int_{298.15}^{T} C_{p} dT.$$
 (2)

2. Evaluation of the Gibbs energy

To evaluate the thermodynamic stability of hexaaluminates $\text{REMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm) in the high temperature region, it is necessary to calculate the Gibbs energy of possible reactions for oxides, for which there is data on enthalpies of formation at 298.15 K and on changes in enthalpy and entropy in the high temperature region:

$$\text{REMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19} = 0.5 \text{ RE}_{2}\text{O}_{3} + \text{MgO} + 5.5 \text{ Al}_{2}\text{O}_{3}$$
(I),

$$\text{REMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19} = 0.5 \text{ RE}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4 + 4.5 \text{ Al}_2\text{O}_3 (\text{II}),$$

$$\operatorname{REMgAl}_{11}O_{19} = \operatorname{REAlO}_3 + \operatorname{MgO} + 5\operatorname{Al}_2O_3$$
(III),

$$\operatorname{REMgAl}_{11}O_{19} = \operatorname{REAlO}_3 + \operatorname{MgAl}_2O_4 + 4\operatorname{Al}_2O_3 \quad (IV).$$

We chose the decomposition reactions into simple oxides, aluminum-magnesium spinel, and REAlO₃ aluminates with a perovskite structure because they are present in the triple phase diagram given in [14]. Evaluation by reaction:

$$\operatorname{REMgAl}_{11}O_{19} = \operatorname{REAl}_{11}O_{18} + \operatorname{MgO}$$
(V)

was impossible due to insufficient data for REAl₁₁O₁₈.

For the four above listed reactions, the temperature dependences of the Gibbs energy, which were calculated as the difference between the values for the reaction products and the starting substances, can be presented as follows:

Reaction (I):

$$\Delta_{r(I)}G^{\circ}(T) = [0.5\Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(RE_{2}O_{3}, T) + \Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(MgO, T) + 5.5\Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(Al_{2}O_{3}, T)] - \Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(REMgAl_{11}O_{19}, T).$$
(3)

Reaction (II):

$$\Delta_{r(II)}G^{\circ}(T) = [0.5\Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(RE_{2}O_{3}, T) + \Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(MgAl_{2}O_{4}, T) + 4.5\Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(Al_{2}O_{3}, T)] - \Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(REMgAl_{11}O_{19}, T).$$
(4)
Reaction (III):

 $\begin{aligned} &\Delta_{r(III)}G^{\circ}(T) = [\Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(\text{REAlO}_{3}, T) + \Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(\text{MgO}, T) + \\ &5 \times \Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(\text{Al}_{2}\text{O}_{3}, T)] - \Delta_{f}G^{\circ}(\text{REMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}, T). \end{aligned}$ (5) Reaction (IV):

$$\begin{split} &\Delta_{\mathrm{r(IV)}}G^{\circ}(T) = [\Delta_{\mathrm{f}}G^{\circ}(\mathrm{REAlO}_{3},T) + \Delta_{\mathrm{f}}G^{\circ}(\mathrm{MgAl}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{4},T) + \\ &+ 4\Delta_{\mathrm{f}}G^{\circ}(\mathrm{Al}_{2}\mathrm{O}_{3},T)] - \Delta_{\mathrm{f}}G^{\circ}(\mathrm{REMgAl}_{11}\mathrm{O}_{19},T). \end{split}$$

The Gibbs energy of reactions (I-IV) can be expressed as the sum of two components: enthalpy and entropy.

Reaction (I):

$$\Delta_{r(I)} G^{\circ}(T) = \{ [0.5\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(RE_{2}O_{3}, T) + \Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(MgO, T) + 5.5\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(Al_{2}O_{3}, T)] - \Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(REMgAl_{11}O_{19}, T) \} - T\{ [0.5S^{\circ}(RE_{2}O_{3}, T) + S^{\circ}(MgO, T) + 5.5S^{\circ}(Al_{2}O_{3}, T)] - S^{\circ}(REMgAl_{11}O_{19}, T) \}.$$
(7)
Reaction (II):

$$\Delta_{r(II)}G^{\circ}(T) = \{[0.5\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(RE_{2}O_{3}, T) + \\ +\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(MgAl_{2}O_{4}, T) + 4.5\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(Al_{2}O_{3}, T)] - \\ -\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(REMgAl_{11}O_{19}, T)\} - T\{[0.5S^{\circ}(RE_{2}O_{3}, T) + \\ +S^{\circ}(MgAl_{2}O_{4}, T) + 4.5S^{\circ}(Al_{2}O_{3}, T)] - \\ -S^{\circ}(REMgAl_{11}O_{19}, T)\}.$$
(8)
Reaction (III):
$$\Delta_{r(III)}G^{\circ}(T) = \{[\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(REAlO_{3}, T) + \Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(MgO, T) + \\ +M_{r(III})G^{\circ}(T) = \{[\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(REAlO_{3}, T) + \Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(MgO, T) + \\ +M_{r(III})G^{\circ}(T) = \{[\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(REAlO_{3}, T) + \Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(MgO, T) + \\ +M_{r(III})G^{\circ}(T) = \{[\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(REAlO_{3}, T) + \\ +M_{r(III})G^{\circ}(T) = \{[\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(REAlO_{3}, T) + \\ +M_{r(III})G^{\circ}(T) + \\ +M_{r(III})G^{\circ}(T) = \{[\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(REAlO_{3}, T) + \\ +M_{r(III})G^{\circ}(T) + \\ +M_{r(III})G^{\circ}(T) = \{[\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(REAlO_{3}, T) + \\ +M_{r(III})G^{\circ}(T) + \\ +M_{r(III})G^$$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \sum_{r(III)} O(T) = \{I \Delta_{f} T (REAIO_{3}, T) + \Delta_{f} T (WgO, T) + \\ & + 5\Delta_{f} H^{\circ}(Al_{2}O_{3}, T)] - \Delta_{f} H^{\circ}(REMgAl_{11}O_{19}, T)\} - \\ & = T\{[S^{\circ}(REAIO_{3}, T) + S^{\circ}(MgO, T) + 5S^{\circ}(Al_{2}O_{3}, T)] - \\ & = S^{\circ}(REMgAl_{11}O_{19}, T)\}. \end{aligned}$$
(9)

Reaction (IV):

 $\Delta_{r_{(IV)}}G^{\circ}(T) = \{ [\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(\text{REAIO}_{3}, T) + \Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(\text{MgAl}_{2}O_{4}, T) + \\ + 4\Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(\text{Al}_{2}O_{3}, T)] - \Delta_{f}H^{\circ}(\text{REMgAl}_{11}O_{19}, T) \} - \\ - T\{ [S^{\circ}(\text{REAIO}_{3}, T) + S^{\circ}(\text{MgAl}_{2}O_{4}, T) + \\ + 4S^{\circ}(\text{Al}_{2}O_{3}, T)] - S^{\circ}(\text{REMgAl}_{11}O_{19}, T) \}.$ (10)

To calculate the enthalpy component over a wide range of temperatures, we needed data on the enthalpies of the corresponding reactions at 298.15 K and the temperature dependences of the changes in enthalpy and entropy for each participant in the reaction.

The thermodynamic values necessary for the calculation were taken from the original articles [17-24] and reference books [25-27]. We found values of enthalpy of formation for LaAlO₃ and PrAlO₃ perovskites in [28], however, we failed to find data on the temperature dependence of heat capacity. Therefore, calculations were only made for neodymium and samarium compounds. We obtained the estimated enthalpies of formation

K. S. Gavrichev et al.

Evaluation of the thermodynamic stability of REMgAl₁₁O₁₀ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm)

of hexaaluminates $\text{REMgAl}_{11}O_{19}$ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm) with a magnetoplumbite structure by drop calorimetry [29]. The results of calculations of enthalpies and Gibbs energies of type (I–IV) reactions in the temperature range of 298.15–1,800 K are shown in Fig. 2–5.

From Fig. 2, it follows that the values of the Gibbs energy of a type (I) reaction for the lanthanum, praseodymium, and neodymium compounds had positive values in the studied temperature range, while in the case of the samarium compound the sign changed to negative, which may indicate its thermodynamic instability in the region below 1,400 K. However, it should be noted that taking into account the error of determination (about \pm 10 kJ/mol), this value can shift to the region of lower temperatures (up to 800 K). There was a general downward trend in thermodynamic stability from lanthanum to samarium.



Fig. 2. Temperature dependences of enthalpy (a) and Gibbs energy (b) of reaction (I) for: $1 - \text{LaMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$, $2 - \text{PrMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$, $3 - \text{NdMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$, $4 - \text{SmMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$



Fig. 3. Temperature dependences of enthalpy (a) and Gibbs energy (b) of reaction (I) for: $1 - \text{LaMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$, $2 - \text{PrMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$, $3 - \text{NdMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$, $4 - \text{SmMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$



Condensed Matter and Interphases / Конденсированные среды и межфазные границы 2024;26(4): 782-788

Fig. 4. Temperature dependences of enthalpy (a) and Gibbs energy (b) of reaction (III) for: $3 - \text{NdMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$, $4 - \text{SmMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$



Fig. 5. Temperature dependences of enthalpy (a) and Gibbs energy (b) (IV) for: *3* – NdMgAl₁₁O₁₉, *4* – SmMgAl₁₁O₁₉

The values of the Gibbs energy of a type (II) reaction for LaMgAl₁₁O₁₉ became negative when the temperature exceeded 1,100 K, which indicates the probability of the reaction. Judging by the temperature dependences of the Gibbs energy for PrMgAl₁₁O₁₉, NdMgAl₁₁O₁₉, and SmMgAl₁₁O₁₉ shown in Fig. 3 and their negative values, a type (II) reaction for these compounds is possible over the entire range of high temperatures.

Judging by the sign of the Gibbs energy of the reaction which involved a decomposition into magnesium and aluminum oxides and REAIO_3 perovskites (RE = Nd, Sm), this process is very probable.

Very negative values of the Gibbs energy indicated that a type (IV) reaction for obtaining magnesium-neodymium and magnesiumsamarium hexaaluminates from perovskites,

K. S. Gavrichev et al.

Evaluation of the thermodynamic stability of $REMgAl_{11}O_{10}$ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm)

spinel, and aluminum oxide should not occur. A significant difference in the type of the Gibbs energy and enthalpy dependencies of type (III) and (IV) reactions can be explained by the influence of the entropy factor.

3. Conclusions

Analysis of the thermodynamic stability of RE magnesium hexaaluminates $\text{REMgAl}_{11}\text{O}_{19}$ based on the calculation of the Gibbs energy of the decomposition reactions into simple oxides, aluminum-magnesium spinel, and REAlO_3 perovskites allowed determining the probability of these reactions over a wide range of temperatures. It was shown that there is influence of enthalpy and entropy factors on the type of temperature dependence of the Gibbs energy of decomposition reactions of hexaaluminates into simpler oxides.

Contribution of the authors

The authors contributed equally to this article.

Conflict of interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this paper.

References

1. Huang E-W., Tung C., Liaw P. K. High-temperature materials for structural applications: New perspectives on high-entropy alloys, bulk metallic glasses, and nanomaterials. *MRS Bulletin*. 2019;44: 847–853. https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs.2019.257

2. Lakiza S. M., Grechanyuk M. I., Ruban O. K., ... Prokhorenko S. V. Thermal barrier coatings: current status, search, and analysis. *Powder Metallurgy and Metal Ceramics*. 2018;57(1-2): 82–113. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11106-018-9958-0

3. Stiger M. J., Yanar M. M., Topping M. G., Pettit F. S., Meier G. H. Thermal barrier coatings for the 21st century. *International Journal of Materials Research*.1999;90(12): 1069–1078. https://doi.org/10.1515/ijmr-1999-901218

4. Tejero-Martin D., Bennett C., T. Hussain T. A review on environmental barrier coatings: History, current state of the art and future developments. *Journal of European Ceramic Society*. 2021;41(3): 1747–1768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jeurceramsoc.2020.10.057

5. Poliarus O., Morgiel J., Żórawski W.,... Cherniushok O. Microstructure, mechanical and thermal properties of YSZ thermal barrier coatings deposited by axial suspension plasma spraying. *Archives of Civil and Mechanical Engineering*. 2023;23: 89(1-11). https://doi.org/10.1007/s43452-023-00616-8 6. Gorelov V. P., Belyakov S., Abdurakhimova R. K. Phase transitions in monoclinic ZrO₂. *Physics of Solid State*. 2023;65(3): 461-466. https://doi.org/10.21883/pss.2023.03.55589.541

7. Frommherz M., Scholz A., Oechsner M., Bakan E., Vaßen R. Gadolinium zirconate/YSZ thermal barrier coatings: Mixed-mode interfacial fracture toughness and sintering behavior. *Surface and Coating Technologies*. 2016;286: 119–128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. surfcoat.2015.12.012

8. Kablov E. N., Doronin O. N., Artemenko N. I., Stekhov P.A., Marakhovskii P.S., Stolyarova V. L. Investigation of the physicochemical properties of ceramics in the $Sm_2O_3 Y_2O_3-$ HfO₂ system for developing promising thermal barrier coatings. *Russian Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*. 2020;65(6): 914–923. https://doi.org/10.1134/s0036023620060078

9. Chen L., Hu M., Guo J., Chong X., Feng J. Mechanical and thermal properties of RETaO₄ (RE = Yb, Lu, Sc) ceramics with monoclinic-prime phase. *Journal of Materials Science and Technology*. 2020;52: 20–28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jmst.2020.02.051

10. Chen L., Song P., Feng J. Influence of ZrO_2 alloying effect on the thermophysical properties of fluorite-type Eu_3TaO_7 ceramics. *Scripta Materialia*. 2018;152: 117–121. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scriptamat.2018.03.042

11. Chen L., Guo J., Zhu Y., Hu M., Feng J. Features of crystal structures and thermo-mechanical properties of weberites RE_3NbO_7 (RE = La, Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd) ceramics. *Journal of American Ceramic Society*. 2021;104:404–412. https://doi. org/10.1111/jace.17437

12. Gadow R., Lischka M. Lanthanum hexaaluminate – novel thermal barrier coatings for gas turbine applications – materials and process development. *Surface and Coating Technologies*. 2002;151-152: 392–399. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0257-8972(01)01642-5

13. Pitek F. M., Levi C. G. Opportunities for TBCs in the ZrO_2 -YO_{1.5}-TaO_{2.5} system. *Surface and Coating Technologies*. 2007;201: 6044-6050. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. surfcoat.2006.11.011

14. Mikhailov G. G., Makrovets L. A., Smirnov L. A. Thermodynamics of the processes of interaction of liquid metal components in Fe – Mg – Al – La – O system. *Izvestiya Visshikh Uchebnykh Zavedenii. Chernaya Metallurgiya* = *Izvestiya. Ferrous Metallurgy.* 2018;61(6): 460–465. https:// doi.org/10.17073/0368-0797-2018-6-460-465

15. Friedrich C., Gadow R., Schirmer T. Lanthanum hexaaluminate – a new material for atmospheric plasma spraying of advanced thermal barrier coatings. *Journal of Thermal Spray Technology*. 2001;10(4): 592–598. https://doi. org/10.1361/105996301770349105

16. Lu H., Wang C.-An, Zhang C., Tong S. Thermophysical properties of rare-earth hexaaluminates LnMgAl₁₁O₁₉ (Ln: La, Pr, Nd, Sm, Eu and Gd) magnetoplumbite for advanced thermal barrier coatings. *Journal of the European Ceramic Society*. 2015;35: 1297–1306. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jeurceramsoc.2014.10.030

17. Gagarin P. G., Guskov A. V., Guskov V. N., Khoroshilov A. V., Ryumin M. A., Gavrichev K. S. Synthesis and high-temperature heat capacity of LaMgAl₁₁O₁₉ and SmMgAl₁₁O₁₉ hexaaluminates. *Russian Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*. 2023;68(11): 1599–1605. https://doi.org/10.1134/s0036023623602064

K. S. Gavrichev et al.

Evaluation of the thermodynamic stability of $REMqAl_{10}$, (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm)

18. Gagarin P. G., Guskov A. V., Guskov V. N., Nikiforova G. E., Gavrichev K. S. Heat capacity and thermal expansion of LaMgAl₁₁O₁₉^{*}. *Russian Journal of Inorganic Chemistry*. 2024;69(6): accepted for publication. (In Russ.)

19. Gagarin P. G., Guskov A. V., Guskov V. N., Khoroshilov A. V., Efimov N. N., Gavrichev K. S. Heat capacity and magnetic properties of $PrMgAl_{11}O_{19}^*$. *Russian Journal of Physical Chemistry A*. 2024; accepted for publication. (In Russ.)

20. Gagarin P. G., Guskov A. V., Guskov V. N., Ryumin M. A., Nikiforova G. E., Gavrichev K. S. Heat capacity of magnesiumneodymium hexaaluminate $NdMgAl_{11}O_{19}^*$. *Russian Journal of Physical Chemistry A*. 2024; accepted for publication. (In Russ.)

21. van der Laan R. R., Konings R. J. M., van Genderen A. C. G., van Miltenburg J. C. The heat capacity of $NdAlO_3$ from 0 to 900 K. *Thermochimica Acta*. 1999;329: 1–6. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0040-6031(99)00006-4

22. Kopan A. R., Gorbachuk M. P., Lakiza S. M., Tishchenko Ya. S. Thermodynamic characteristics of SmAlO₃ in the range 55–300 K. *Powder Metallurgy and Metal Ceramics*. 2012;51(3-4): 209–216. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11106-012-9419-0

23. Konings R. J. M., Beneš O., Kovács A., ... Osina E. The thermodynamic properties of the *f*-elements and their compounds. Part 2. The lanthanide and actinide oxides. *Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data*. 2014;4: 013101-1–013101-95. https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4825256

24. Zinkevich M. Thermodynamics of rare earth sesquioxides. *Progress in Materials Science*. 2007;52: 597–647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmatsci.2006.09.002

25. Chase M. W. Jr. *NIST-JANAF thermochemical tables. Journal of Physical and Chemical Reference Data Monographs.* Washington DC: American Inst. of Physics; 1998, 1951 p.

26. Barin I. *Thermochemical Data of Pure Substances*. 3rd Edition. Published jointly by G. Platzki. VCH – Weinheim; New York; Base1; Cambridge; Tokyo: VCH. 2003 p.

27. Glushko V. P. *Thermal constants of substances**. Reference book. Moscow: 1965-1982. (In Russ.)

28. Zhang Y., Navrotsky A. Thermochemistry of rareearth aluminate and aluminosilicate glasses. *Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids*. 2004;341: 141–151. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.jnoncrysol.2004.04.027 29. Gavrichev K. S., Guskov V. N., Gagarin P. G., Guskov A. V., Khoroshilov A. V. Heat capacity and thermodynamic properties of $\text{REMgAl}_{11}O_{19}$ (RE = La, Pr, Nd, Sm) hexaaluminates with magnetoplumbite structure. In: *XXIV International Conference on Chemical Thermodynamics in Russia RCCT-2024, July 1–5, 2024, Ivanovo, Russia RCCT-2024. Book of abstracts*. Ivanovo: JSC "Ivanovo Publishing House" Publ.; 2024. p. 318. Available at: https://rcct.isc-ras. ru/sites/default/files/collectionabstracts/56/rcct-2024.pdf

* Translated by author of the article

Информация об авторах

Konstantin S. Gavrichev, Dr. Sci. (Chem.), Chief Researcher, Head of Laboratory of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Moscow, Russian Federation).

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5304-3555 gavrich@igic.ras.ru

Vladimir N. Guskov, Dr. Sci. (Chem.), Leading Researcher of Laboratory of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Moscow, Russian Federation).

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0425-8618 guskov@igic.ras.ru

Pavel G. Gagarin, Cand. Sci. (Chem.), Senior Researcher of Laboratory of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Moscow, Russian Federation).

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6450-3959 gagarin@igic.ras.ru

Anton V. Guskov, Cand. Sci. (Chem.), Researcher of Laboratory of Thermal Analysis and Calorimetry, Kurnakov Institute of General and Inorganic Chemistry of the Russian Academy of Sciences (Moscow, Russian Federation).

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0826-5495

a.gus@igic.ras.ru

Received 18.07.2024; approved after reviewing 30.07.2024; accepted for publication 16.09.2024; published online 25.12.2024.

Translated by Irina Charychanskaya