



REVIEW

1. Author(s) and article's title

2. The estimate of scientific substance and level:

«Yes» | «No»

2.1. Does article's subject correspond to journal profile? |

2.2. Is article's subject relevant? |

2.3. Are results obtained in research new? |

2.4. New results consist in... |

2.5. Does article convinces reviewer of...:

«Yes» | «No»

1) significance of results for publication? |

2) reliability of results? |

2.6. Does article has irrefragable references to other publications in that scientific area? |

2.7. Does article has high scientific level as a whole? |

3. The estimate of completed article quality:

«Yes» | «No»

3.1. Does article title correspond to primary result? |

3.2. Do authors formulate the research aim? |

3.3. Do authors formulate the specific results? |

3.4. Are additional editing necessary by authors? |

3.5. What Figs and (or) sections of text do authors need shorten?

3.6. What additions are necessary?

4. The conclusion of reviewer about the article as a whole (one of positions number 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4)

«Yes» | «No»

4.1. Is it *impossible* to publish article in journal? |

4.2. Does reviewer has to examine article after correction by authors? |

4.3. Is it possible to publish article after correction by authors without re-examine by reviewer? |

4.4. Is it possible to publish article without corrections? |

