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*(Name(s) of the author(s) and the name of the manuscript)*

**1. Compliance with the scope of the journal**

*Please, determine the section of the journal to publish the manuscript in, or state that the manuscript is not appropriate*

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Mathematical methods of system analysis, management and modelling. |  |
| Modern software development technologies. |  |
| Intelligent systems, data analysis, machine learning. |  |
| System analysis of social and economic processes. |  |
| Computational linguistics and natural language processing. |  |
| Information and measurement, management, and network systems. |  |
| Information Security |  |
| Does not correspond to any section. |  |

**2. Scientific quality of the manuscript**

2.1. References to existing papers

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Excellent overview |  |
| Sufficient |  |
| Insufficient |  |
| The required references are not provided |  |

*If necessary, please state at the end of the review, which parts of the manuscript need revision*

2.2. Novelty, originality of methods and/or results

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Absolutely new results |  |
| Some new ideas |  |
| Elaboration of previously obtained results |  |
| The results are not new |  |
| Difficult to evaluate based on the text |  |

*If necessary, please state at the end of the review what is new in the manuscript, or who has already presented the same results (if any).*

2.3. Correctness of the conclusions

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | Absolutely correct |  |
| 4 | No obvious flaws |  |
| 3 | Some minor errors |  |
| 2 | Major errors |  |
| 1 | Difficult to evaluate based on the text |  |

*If necessary, please state at the end of the review which parts of the manuscript have errors.*

2.4. The importance of the study

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | Interesting to a wide audience |  |
| 4 | Useful |  |
| 3 | For a limited audience |  |
| 2 | Of little interest |  |
| 1 | Difficult to evaluate based on the text |  |

*If necessary, please state at the end of the review exactly for which audience this manuscript will be interesting*

2.5. The quality of the experiment

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | Sufficient quality or purely theoretical work |  |
| 4 | Sufficient |  |
| 3 | Further research is required |  |
| 2 | Absolutely insufficient |  |

*If necessary, please state at the end of the review which issues need elaboration through more experiments or more illustrative examples*

**3. General characteristics of the manuscript**

3.1. Clarity of presentation

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | Easy to reads |  |
| 4 | Quite clear |  |
| 3 | Hard to understand |  |
| 2 | Unreadable |  |

*If necessary, please comment on the style of the manuscript at the end of the review*

3.2. General impression

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | Excellent |  |
| 4 | Good |  |
| 3 | Satisfactory |  |
| 2 | Poor |  |

*If necessary, please provide a detailed assessment of the manuscript at the end of the review*

**4. Formatting (compliance with the journal’s latest style sheet)**

*Please, check whether the manuscript has all the compulsory sections, the abstract, keywords, references, information about authors, and their translation into English.*

*Compliance with the journal’s requirements regarding the content and the formatting*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 5 | Absolutely correct |  |
| 4 | Some minor mistakes |  |
| 3 | Major mistakes |  |
| 2 | Does not meet the requirements |  |

*If necessary, please state at the end of the review why the manuscript does not comply with the requirements.*

**5. Your recommendation:**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| To publish |  |
| To accept pending a review |  |
| The manuscript needs to be rewritten followed by another review |  |
| Not recommended for publication |  |

*The recommended length of manuscripts is 8-15 pages including figures. Overviews can be up to 25 pages, short communications up to 2 pages. The number of figures should be no more than 5.*

*All manuscripts should be structured according to the journal’s style sheet. If you think the manuscript can be made shorter, please state it in the review and describe how.*

*Please, provide below your general impression of the manuscript together with your comments (explaining any negative impressions and, if necessary, providing detailed answers to questions 2.1-2.5).*
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